MYSORE

Sectt.- II-27—50,000—4-1-52.

Rev. (1952) Department.

G.O. Series.

~~SERIES~~

G.O. ~~G.O.~~ NO. 72566/52-3

13-2-53.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dated

To

The Hon'ble Prime Minister,
Sri. C. Rajagopalachar,
Co[illegible]

Honoured Sir,

Subject: Petition of J.Beemasena Rao Tahsildar Hospet Petitioner. Edigara Balappa Registered contractor Mariammanahalli Hospet Tq. Bellary Dt.

I wish to bring the following for your kind consideration and early favourable orders.

I was a Tody vendor and it was my ancestoral profession. After the introduction of prohibition in our area the Government was pleased to enlist me as a recognised contractor under Minor Irrigation Department and all the contracts in our area have been entrusted to me since then.

But our Tahsildar Mr. Bheemasena Rao J of Hospet Taluk entrusted the work to one Mr. Allipureda Hanumanthappa without calling for tenders by making underhand dealings. Then representation was made.

Accordingly when additional work was started of the same well tenders were called for. In obedience to the tender I remitt Rs 200/- on 14-7-52 and contested the tender on the last date of the tender. After the expiry of the time fixed I approached the Tahsildar to open the tender but on some pretext or the other he went on postponing till 27-7-52. After wards he enquires me how will it work out profitable to you if you quote at Rs 25½% less. I replyed him that I have no other work on hand and the work is in my own native place, I would like to take it atleast to engage my labourers. I appealed him to entrust the work only to me as I was un employed. The Tahsildar Mr. Bheemsena Rao said that others are paying in thousands and if I can pay like that the work can

be entrusted to me. Then there were four people sitting with the Tahsildar whom you can enquire. Like that he openly takes bribe and gives trouble for the innocent people.

Hence I request you earnestly to hold an enquiry and find out the truth of of my statement, and teach a befitting lesson to a public servant like our Tahsildar Bheemarao.

Thanking you.

Mariammanahalli. Yours faithfully.

D.

10-9-52

Copy to:-

1. The Hon'ble Prime Minister Central Government Newdelhi.
2. The Hon'ble Minister for Finance & Revenue Govt. of Madras.
3. Hon'ble Dr. R.Nagan Gowda M.Sc.,Ph.D. Minister for Agricultu Madras.
4. The District Collector Bellary.
5. The Sub Collector Hospet.

MARIAMMANAHALLI,

Dated. 1952.

From

Edilappa,

istered Contractor,

Mariammanahalli, Hospet Taluk.

To

llector of

BELLARY.

Sir,

to bring the following facts for your kind consi-
deratidrgent orders thereon.

registered contractor for M.I. and R.W.S. works in
the Rebartment.

o my taking to contract works i nave been an abkary
contradfter the introduction of Prohibition I had to give u
my oldpn and ture to other sources of livelihood.

ammanahalli Village, Hospet Taluk there is one R.W
works ining of a draw well. The sanctioned estimate for
work is/

sildar of Hospet called for tenders for the Execu
of th 4-7-52. I was also given tender notice. I Depo
Rs.200/tted my tender, My competitor for the work is o
Allipuithappa. He is a permanent resident of Allipuram
(Allipu five miles from Bellary. But I am a permanent
residermanahalli village and local contractor

25½% below the sanctioned estimate where as the
Said H quoted 15% only. Still the Tahsildar accepted
tenderhappa and rejected mne. When I pointed this
Tahsilme endorsement, R.O.C.2450/52 dated

which he communicated to me that Hanumanthappa was preferred on administrative grounds in spite of his rate being higher than mine. The action of the Tahsildar was grossly unjust.

Thereupon I submitted an urgent application to the Collecto on 14-8-52 for stay of execution of the well work but I do not know what has happened to this petition.

This very same well work was taken for execution by Hanumanthappa in 50-51. The proposed diameter for the well was 10' feet throughout. But the excation was of a diameter of about 5' i the lowest levels and was gradually and progressively widened to 10' when the excavation reached the ground level. This was not according to the original estimate sanction. Some how the work got approved and payment received. If inspection of the well is the truth of the allegation will be known.

I have also learnt several other facts about the work taken by the said Hanumanthappa and I instance a few here for t consideration of the collector.

Some well works entrusted to Hanumanthappa collapsed one year of construction. I may refer to a draw well in Meen village in Bellary Taluk. The reconstruction of this was sanct again.

In Morigeri village, Hadagalli Taluk the construction new draw well at a sanctioned estimate of Rs.10,000/-, has, I been given to Hanumantha pa without calling for tenders.

One chellagurki well work in Bellary taluk at an estim cost of Rs.13,000/- was also given to him without calling for ti

The following further sanctioned works have also bee entrusted to him.

(1)Haragundona Village;-Convertion of a step well into well originally sanctioned Rs.16,000/- now revised to Rs.33,000/-

P.T.O.

(2)Mriammanahalli Tanda in Hospet Taluk. Deepening of existing draw well at the sanctioned estimate of 8,000/-.

(3) Gunda Tanda Bavi(Well). Sanction for over Rs.2,000/-.

The above are only a few. He has been entrusted with main of major works in Bellary District. He has taken works in Hosp Kudligi, Adoni and Hadagalli Taluks. The labour Department work Rayadrug, Harpanahalli, Hospet taluks are also taken by him.

The said Hanumanthappa I learn, has no supervisors, Mastri are work men under him. All big contracts are taken by him and are sublet. The result is the works are not completed in time be satisfactory, the constructions collapse and reconstruction h be done. There is immese loss of Government funds.

I submit that this Hanumanthappa has become an influentia and favoured person in the Department. He is capable and getti all majorworks in the District in his name. Other contractors have to suffer consequently. This inequitable distribution and consentration of major works far and wide in the District, in the hands of one individual leads to deterioration of quality in the works and lost government monies when the contractor is unable to cope up with the works.

I request that the Collector may be pleased to inspect t Mariammahahalli well to satisfied regarding the truth of the mea surements, that is whether the diameter is 10' throughout. to en how the Tahsildar of Hospet entrusted the new work when the rate by Hanumanthappa only 15% whereas my rate is 25½%, to call for records of the subordinate officers and pending disposal of the o tion to order immediate stay of execution of the well work at M mmanahalli and thus render justice to me.

Yours Faithfully,
[illegible]
10-9-52

Copy to the Sbb Collector Hospet for information and necessary action.

CONFIDENTIAL. Revenue Department.

D.O.No. 12566 G/52-1 dated 9.10.52

6

Public Servants - (Bellary) - Bhaumasenarao
Sri J. Tahsildar - Hospet. Allegations

111-SCR/ Ref: From Balappa, petition dated 10.9.52.
From Balappa, petition dated 10.9.52

I am to invite attention to the petitions cited and to request you to report, after making such discreet enquiries as may be deemed fit, without informing anybody else about the petition or the purpose for which the information is required

(i) whether there is any truth in the allegations made in the petition;

(ii) whether there was any occasion previously to suspect the integrity of the officer/~~officers~~ concerned, and

p.t.o....

(iii) whether in your opinion an enquiry into the allegations by the X-Branch, C.I.D. is necessary.

The personal file of the officer/~~officers~~ concerned may also be sent to Government for perusal and return. The reply should be sent to Government direct with a copy thereof to the Board of Revenue.

Y. S.

23/9/52

To Sri. A. R. Sundaram Ate,
Collector of Bellary

(with petition on pp. 1-6 c.f. in original to be returned with the reply).

Copy to the Board of Revenue (LR) (with copy of petition on pp. 1-6 c.f.)

8

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
13 JAN 1953
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

R.C.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

[illegible] No. 14001/52 M4 (Confidential,

Collectorate Office, Bellary
Dated 6-1-1953.

My dear Chettur,

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY
17 JAN 1953
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Public Services - Bhima Rao (Sri.S) Ex.acting Tahsildar, Hospet, Bellary District - allegations against - Enquiry report submitted.

1. Government's D.O.No. 72566.G/52-1 dated 9th October, 1952 and a reminder thereon dated 12th November, 1952.
2. My D.O.No. 14001/52 M4 dated 19-11-52.

The following are the allegations levelled against Sri S.Bhima Rao, ex.acting Tahsildar, Hospet in petition No.I received with your letter cited above; -

(i) that the Tahsildar, Hospet entrusted the well work at Mariammanahalli village in Hospet taluk to one Allipuram Hanumanthappa without calling for tenders.

(ii) that when the additional work was started in respect of the same well work, the Tahsildar Hospet did not entrust the work to the petitioner though he submitted his tender in time, and

(iii) that the Tahsildar, Hospet demanded money from the petitioner for entrusting the well work to him.

On a similar petition received direct by him, the Assistant Collector Hospet conducted an open enquiry and has sent a detailed report together with the statements of persons recorded by him. I enclose a copy of his report dated 13-12-52 and also the record of enquiry made by him. His report and the statements of the persons enquired by him show that the allegations made by Balappa against Sri S.Bhima Rao, ex.acting Tahsildar Hospet are false.

I also made confidential enquiries in the matter at Mariammanahalli village on 30-12-52 and I am satisfied that the allegations made by Balappa against the Tahsildar, Hospet are false and baseless.

The allegations made by the petitioner in his petition No.II relate to the alleged faulty construction of well works by Allipuram Hanumanthappa. The Assistant Collector, Hospet and I enquired into the allegations and a separate report was already submitted to the Board in my L.Dis.15145/52 B3 dated 31-12-52 (copy enclosed).

With reference to the three points raised in the Government D.O. cited above, I am of the opinion that (1) there is no truth in the allegations made in the petitions, (2) that there was no occasion previously to suspect the integrity of the Officer concerned and (3) an enquiry into the allegations by the L.Branch, C.I.D. is unnecessary. I send herewith in a sealed cover the personal file of Sri S.Bhima Rao, ex.acting Tahsildar Hospet for favour of perusal by the Government and return.

pp. 1-5 ante pp. 6-7 ante

The petitions received with the Government D.O. cited above are enclosed.

Yours sincerely,

31/6

(D.R.Sundaram) 8/57

To

Sri.S.K.Chettur, I.C.S.
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St.George,
Madras.

10

Copy of D.O.No. 9083/52 D dated 13-12-52 from the Assistant Collector, Hospet.

Public Servants - Bhima Rao (Sri.S) Tahsildar, Hospet - allegations against petition of Balappa, contractor.

pp 1-2 ante

Petition from Balappa, Contractor Mariammanahalli dated 10-9-52.

I conducted inquiry into the allegations made by Edigara Balappa against Sri.S.Bhima Rao, Tahsildar, Hospet on 11-12-52. I enclose the record.

Edigara Balappa appeared with 2 witnesses and the three made statements. The Tahsildar, Hospet also made his statement. As by the record and the demeanor of the witnesses to Balappa's support, I consider that the allegation is not true. At least one of them (Porter Bhimappa) and the allegant Balappa have other grounds of grievance against the Tahsildar nor were the allegations made in the appeal petition to the Collector requesting stay orders in the matter of Mariammanahalli R.W.S. well. There is Balappa's admission on this point.

pp.3-5 ante

pp 19-20 infra

I accept the Tahsildar's explanation as to how there was delay in considering the two tenders made by Hanumanthappa and Balappa. Balappa himself admits that the Tahsildar when he happened to be at Mariammanahalli tried to entrust the work to him. Balappa was not there on that day (11-8-52). When the Tahsildar entrusted the work to Hanumanthappa he was only exercising his discretion.

pp 14 infra

pp 19-20 infra

I am satisfied with the Tahsildar's explanation that he was absent from the house because of the First Board Member leaving Hospet that morning (27-7-52).

pp 15 infra

The admission of Balappa under cross-examination that the Tahsildar has never before demanded anything shows up the improbability of the Tahsildar demanding a thousand rupees out of an estimate of Rs. 4250/- and Balappa's quotation of 25% less. This is quite apart from the fact that the Tahsildar totally denies that he met Balappa that day (27-7-52).

The allegations of Balappa against the Tahsildar fail in default of satisfactory proof.

Sd.N.V.Sundara Raman,
Asst.Collector, Hospet.

/true copy/

Copy of this office L.Dis.15145/52 B3 dated 31-12-52 addressed to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, Madras.

R.W.S. Comprehensive scheme (Bellary) Hospet taluk - Mariammanahalli village well contract - allegation - report submitted.

N.C. Board's Ref.V. 11727/52-2 dated 21-11-52 and 22-12-52.

The Assistant Collector, Hospet who was asked to enquire into the matter reports that the enquiry among the villagers of Mariammanahalli revealed that the allegations of Sri Edigara Balappa are not genuine. He has himself measured the diameter of the well on top and mid section and it is reported to be 10 feet. He states that he could not take measurements at the bottom as there is water to a depth of 32 feet. But he is of the opinion that the diameter at the bottom is also 10 feet and the villagers are also said to have admitted the fact. Sri Edigara Balappa who made false allegations has given a statement before the Assistant Collector, to the effect that the diameter of the well is 10' throughout. His statement is enclosed.

p. 1 infra

The Tahsildar, Bellary who enquired into the allegations in respect of the well work at Meenahalli village reports that the well did not collapse at all. He reports that as the well is situated within a short distance of Hagari river, it was silted up by sand drift and as such, petty repairs had to be effected. The repairs were effected after three years of its construction. Hence he states that the allegations are baseless.

P.T.O.

11

p.12 infra

The Tahsildar, Bellary reports that I inspected most of the wells executed by Sri.Hanumanthappa from 1947-48 to 1951-52 and they are all in good condition.

I have also inspected a few works executed by Sri Hanumanthappa and find the works to be satisfactorily ~~com~~ executed. In view of the above ~~circumstances~~ facts the allegations which were made on account of professional jealousy do not deserve any consideration.

Copies of Asst.Collector's report in L.Dis.11841/52 dated 17-12-52 and Bellary Tahsildar's report in L.Dis.2307/52 B4 dated 17-12-52 are enclosed.

/true copy/

p12 infra

Copy of L.Dis.11841/52 dated 17-12-52 from the Assistant Collector, Hospet.

R.W.S. Comprehensive scheme - Bellary - Hospet taluk - Mariammanahalli village well contract - allegations of Balappa - Enquiry - report submitted.

Ref. Board's Ref.11727/52-2 dated 18-11-52.

I went to Mariammanahalli on 17-12-52 and conducted inquiry into the allegations made by Idigara Balappa in respect of the execution of work in 50-51. The contract was given to Sri.Hanumanthappa.

Balappa's allegation runs that the diameter of the well was variable at top, mid section and the bottom of the well. He stated that the proposed diameter of 10' throughout. But that excavation in the lowest levels was about 5' diameter and was gradually and progressively widened to 10' when the excavation reached the ground level. As by the statements recorded by me the villagers only state that there were some projecting rocks at places and that they gave a petition to have these dressed properly. These projections were somewhere in the midsection of the well. None of the villagers admitted that it could have beenas such as 5' projection so as to render the diameter 5'. Some of them say it could have been about a foot or 1½' at the most. Balappa claims to have measured the diameter at the mid section and found it to be 5'. But none of those inquired at Mariammanahalli would corroborate him.

Mr. D.Krishna at the time of inspection of the well in May, 1952 has not left any remarks. But from the villagers I ascertained that he gave oral instructions to have the projections removed and it was done. I do not think that the allegations have been substantiated by the inquiry.

I have also taken measurements which are as follows;

Top diameter. 10'
Midsection 10'
Bottom level could not measured because of water in the well.
But there is the general admission on this that the bottom diameter is 10'.
Total depth 59'.
Water stands to a depth of 32'.

I enclose the statements of some of the villagers who were inquired by me. Some of them were suggested by Balappa himself. He has submitted that now the diameter conforms to the original estimate.

The Board's reference in original is returned.

N.V.Sundara Raman,
Assistant Collector, Hospet.

Copy of letter L.Dis. 2307/52 dated 17;12-52 from the Tahsildar,Bellary.

R.W.S. Bellary taluk - execution of well works by Sri.A.Hanumanthappa of Allipuram allegations by Sri Ediga Balappa of Mariammanahalli - report submitted.

Your Rc.No. 15145/52 B3 dated 8-12-52.

A well pit was dug up by the villagers of Meenahalli in S.No.55. For improving this well pit an estimate for Rs. 760/- was sanctioned by the Collr. in his D.Dis.7156/46 B4 dated 19-9-46 and the work was given on contract to Sri G.Hanumanthappa of Allipuram in the year 1946 (ie, on 9-10-1946. The work was completed and paid for in March, 1947.

I visited Meenahalli village on 27-1-50 and inspected the R.W.S.well in S.No. 55. The bottom was covered with sand being very near the bed of the Hagari river. There was also potable water in the well to a depth of about 5 feet. The parapet wall of the well had given way and the pulleys required urgent repairs. Steining also had given way in 2 or 3 places. This was probably due to the fact that the walls of the well were constructed on the sandy soil. The villagers were also using the water of the well actively for drinking purposes. I proposed the above minor improvements for the well. An estimate for Rs. 500/- for effecting the above improvements to the well was submitted by my successor on 13-10-1950 in this office S.No. 3129/47 B4 dated 10-10-50. But it was sanctioned for Rs.360/- by the Collector in his D.Dis.C.18142/50 dated 31-10-52.

The well did not at all collapse nor the above repairs are due to bad construction of the contract for repairs were undertaken after a lapse of 3 years. As it is situated very close to the Hagari river, it was filled up by sand drifts from the Hagari river. Petty repairs had therefore to be done to this well. In the circumstances quoted above, the allegations made by Sri Ediga Balappa of Mariammanahalli against contractor Sri G.Hanumanthappa of Allipuram are not true and are baseless.

A list of well works executed by the contractor Sri G.Hanumanthappa of Allipuram in the previous years is furnished below. I have inspected most of the well works. They are in good condition.

1947-48.
(1) Improvements to the existing draw well in N.Veerapuram village.
(2) Conversion of step well into a draw well in Kudithini village.

1948-49.
(1) Improvements to the existing draw well in Allipuram hamlet of Kolagal village.
(2) Improvements to the existing draw well at Hincheri village.
(3) Improvements to 2 draw wells in Sindigeri village.

~~1949-50~~ 1950-51
Improvements to the existing draw well in Seedaragadda village.

~~1950~~ 1951-52.
Construction of a new draw well in Godehal village.

Sd.J.Jagannatha Rao,
Tahsildar,Bellary.

/true copy/

8153
Collector.

Sworn Statement of Eligaru Balappa
Contractor. Maniammanahalli
(S/o Hanumanthappa) 48 years.
Contractor, Maniammanahalli

I sent a tender for the work at
Maniammanahalli in July 52.
S.O. on the 14 July 52 the tender was opened
in my presence and considered by the
Chairman at 1 P.M. and I was told to come
at 4 P.M. on the same day. The tender
was not considered even on the last day
and I was asked to come on
5-7-52 and was told like this
for another day and I was told the same thing. On the
27th I was
asked why the tender was lower by 1000
(thousand) and the Talukdar replied the Govt
would not accept the tender made by me
and that he would write to Govt. I said
that the work should be passed after
the work
I was told by
the Talukdar that the
Board would be informed later and
I was told to come then and
there was no other work.
I said that I should come later.

11-12-52

14

When I came again, I was told by the Tah.
there was no urgency as the water.

I asked the Tahsildar to come and
see the work to be done because there
was the water after which I was to
play in three afternoons left and was going
to daily check the Tahsildar's house. On 10-8-52
I was told he had gone to Dharmajaramakari.
I returned from Bellary to Harinammanahalli
and was told by Edigina Hanumanthappa that the
Tahsildar had finally entrusted the work to me
and had come to Harinammanahalli to do
that work when I was sought for it wasn't there
and but the next day I went to the Tahsildar.
The Tahsildar then told me that the work
was given to Hanumanthappa. I asked
him why he had done so. The Tahsildar
answered what can I do now, the work is
already entrusted to somebody else.
I asked for a copy of his order rejecting
my tender and I was given that.
Then I went to Hospet and sent a petition
to the Collector and when the Tahsildar
heard that I had sent a petition
he said that Hanumanthappa could give
a thousand rupees and consider it give it
to me and asked me to withdraw the petition.
In the petition to the Collector
I have not mentioned that the
Tahsildar made a statement.

11-12-52

Xexam by Tahsildar.

" There were 2 tenders. mine & another by Hanumanth.
" you (Tah) told me that the work could not be appr started because of water in the well."
" At about 9 Am in your house you (Tah) stated that Hanumanthappa could give thousand rupees and could I do the same. It was in your office room in your house.
Nl - " There was no other person in the room. This was on 27-7-52. Two persons had come with me to the Tahsildar's house on that day."
" In the appeal petition to the Collector which was sent by me, I did not refer to the statement of the Tahsildar about Hanumanthappa's ability to pay a thousand rupees and if I could do so.
In the platform work to the same well which was entrusted to me, I did not pay anything to the Tahsildar nor did he ask me for anything."
I have been a contractor for 5 years. During this period I have executed only 3 works: Marcinnanahalli platform (last year) Gollarahalli tankwork, B.N. Kaval Kalvi work".

11-12-52.

Read over to the deponent in Kannada and admitted by him to be correct.

Before me.

Nl -

16

Sworn statement of Bhemappa S/o Venkatappa
48 years, Setti Balija Cultivator Mariammanahalli

11 xii 52

I had come to Hospet on Sunday (Shandyday) with Balappa. I do not know the month. We two went to the Tahsildar's house. Balappa went in. I was at the gate of the house. The Tahsildar asked Balappa why he came. Balappa said he came in connexion with the Mariammanahalli well-work. The Tahsildar said Hanumanthappa could give a thousand rupees and could Balappa do the same? and that he would tele him definitely after the Bond members visit was over. We returned. That is all.

X exam

My name is Porter Bheemappa. I do not remember that applied for any site or land and that the Tahs. rejected it. I am doing some Sivaiganna cultivation. I have not asked you for assignment of that. It was AAM when I and Balappa and Amaldas came to the Tahsildars house. I do not know who were all inside the house. We were outside me and Amaldas. [illegible]

Read over in Kannada and admitted by the deponent to be correct.

Before me.

[illegible] 11/Xii

11 30 years

Sworn statement of Amaldas, S/o Ayyakannu Peirumalam age, contractor

11 xii 52

On 27-I-52 we (myself and one Ramaswami Gounder) went to Munai Venkataswami's place to collect some money from a contractor under Munai Venkataswami. On the way we met Balappa. I asked Balappa for some money. He said let us go to the Tahsildar's house and we shall see about the money later. We (myself Bhimappa and Ramaswami Gounder) were standing outside in the verandah of the Tahsildar's house. The Tahsildar then said that Hanumanthappa could pay Rs 1000- (thousand rupees) and if Balappa could do the same. Balappa said he was a poor man and could not afford to pay like that. The Tahsildar said the Board member was visiting hospital and so could he come some other time.

I do not know very much of Kannada.

Xexam

I collected the money from Munai Venkataswami that day. Myself, Balappa and the others all of us came by the same bus. We came at about 10-30 Am. We came to the Tahsildar's at Balappa's request. I had no work

Amaladas 11-12-53

Amalada 11-12-52

Read over the judgment and admitted by him to be correct.

Before me

Jackie Fulcarpe

Marvin Lawyer

Magaff, Fulcarpe and the other

all of us came to the same

we came at about 10-30am

we came to the Tribunal

I had

I did not

Marvin Lawyer

I asked the meaning from

Sworn statement of Sri J. Bhimasena Rao, Tahsildar, Hospet

It is true that Balappa gave a tender 25% less. Hanumanthappa 15% less. That I told Balappa I did not open the tender is not correct. But I told that entrusting the work to someone was engaging my attention. To my knowledge there was water in the well. I didn't like to give it when there was no chance of execution so there was some delay. On some other occasion when I went to Jamaya Hanakeri I found there was no water and the well could be taken up for execution. I did not prefer Balappa because just then I had come to know that he had delayed the only work entrusted to him in Kadapalli Taluk. I found that, (in my opinion) Hanumanthappa was better in executing contracts.

The very fact that in the I
~~appellate~~ petition ~~but~~ the collector
appeal this allegation was not made
shows that this is an after thought.
No witnesses were examined in the
petition to the chief minister.

11.8.52

Rev. Dept.

(Confidential) D.O. No. 72566 S/52-3 Dated 13/2-53.

Public Servants - (Bellary) - Sri S. Bhima Rao - Ex - acting Tahsildar Hospet - Allegations against - Enquiry - Papers recorded.

pp. 5-7 c.f. Your D.O. No. 72566 S/52-1, Rev. d/ 9.10.52.

pp. 8-8 c.f. Your D.O. Rep. Re. 14001/S-1-A4 (C) d/ 6.1.53.

— x —

I am to state that in the circumstances reported by you the Govt. agree that no investigation by the X Branch, C.I.D. is necessary. I am however to add ~~that from the~~ ~~tenour of the explanation appears~~

pp. 19-20 c.f.

22

~~to how there was~~
~~by the Tahsildar in giving delay in~~
~~considering tenders, it is doubted~~
~~that there seems to be little~~
~~doubt~~. The Tahsildar should
have given the work to the lowest
tenderer enforcing at the same time
proper construction and that
there was no need for the Tahsildar
to go all the way to the village
of the petitioner to give the
contract and finding that he
was not there to give it
to another contractor

12/2/53

12.2.53
Sd
13/2/53

Sd/-
2/87

To
Sri. D. R. Sundaram,
I. A.S.,
Collector of Bellary.
(with the P.F. recd.)
2. Copy to the Board of Rev. (XI)

CNo 72566/B/ Public Servants (Bellary) - Rev Dept

Bheemasenarao Sri J. Tahsildar - Hospet - Allegations.

From Balappa, petition dated 10.9.52

1P1-5 P/ From Balappa, petition dated 10.9.52

To AS

Please see the petitions on pp 1-5 CF and the minute of M (Agri) on p 1 CF. The petitions contain allegations against Sri J. Bheemasenarao, Tahsildar, Hospet, Bellary Dt. The allegation at 'M' on pp 1-2 CF is specific. With reference to the minute of M(Agri) on p 1 CF, a draft D.O. is submitted for approval.

23.9.52

23/9/52 A Put up recent orders re procedure on copies of petns sent to Dt. authorities

23/9/52 B Were there not other allegations against the same Talukdar recently? If so, please put up the relevant papers. As a remembrancer of such petns & enquiries, a small index

Through H
23.9.52

C on the model of the one maintained in the S.C. section shd be opened in G section also and entries made therein in alphabetical order as and when a petn or enquiry is closed showing the name & other particulars of the individual against whom the same is directed & the result thereof. This index shd be checked up whenever a fresh petn is recd & the relevant back papers put up for orders on the fresh petn. Regr now opened shd contain particulars of petns etc already closed from 1.1.52 with help of O.Rs etc.

23.9.52

PTO.

To A.S

Please see the notes on page 1 ante.

2. A on page 1 ante.

The recent orders referred to are contained in Pub (Ser) Dept's w.o. note No. 2711/52-1 dated 16.6.52 (copy put up at (M)). These instructions refer to copies of anonymous and pseudonymous petitions received by Govt. The petitions in the CF do not appear to be pseudonymous as the petitioner has given his address. Please see X on page 1 CF.

3 B on page 1 ante:

There were no allegations against Sri. J. Bheemasena Rao, Tahsildar, Hospet, Bellary dt. perviously.

3 C on page 1 ante.

Submitted that a district wise Register containing the required information is already being maintained in 'G' section and it is referred to before noting on petitions of this kind. It is for orders whether the present Register will be considered sufficient for the purpose.

It is sufficient if it be maintained properly
31/5/52

Through SC.

10/5/52

Note above need not be read.

Petn in the CF may be referred to the Collr for remarks, w.r.t. the remarks of M (Hon) on p 1 CF. The draft U.O. below is accordingly submd for approval.

31/5/52

(P.T.O.)

Revenue Department.

...

Issued as D.O. No.72566 G/52-1 Revenue dated 9.10.52.
From the Collector of Bellary D.O. Rc.14001/52-A4(C) dated 6.1.1953.

...

To A.S.

The file relates to certain allegations of corruption against Sri S. Bhima Rao, Ex-Acting Tahsildar, Hospet, Bellary district. Sri Edigara Balappa, a contractor of Mariammanahalli village, Hospet taluk, Bellary district in a petition to Govt. alleged that the Tahr. Hospet, Sri S. Bheemasena Rao demanded a bribe from him for giving a well work on contract. With reference to the minutes of M.(Agri) at 'A' on p.1 c.f. the petitions from Sri Balappa were sent to the Collr. of Bellary for confidential enquiry and report.

2. In his report at pp.8-9 c.f. the Collector has stated that the Assistant Collector, Hospet, who had received a copy of the petition on pp.1-2 c.f. has enquired into the allegations against the Tahsildar. The Assistant Collector is of the view that the allegations made by Balappa against Sri S. Bhima Rao, ex-acting Tahsildar, Hospet are false. The Collr. who also made confidential enquiries in the matter considers that the allegations contained in the petitions are false and baseless.

3. In the circumstances stated in his report at pp.8-9 c.f. the Collector considers that there is no truth in the allegations made in the petitions, that there was no occasion previously to suspect the integrity of the officer concerned and that no enquiry into the allegations by the X-Branch, C.I.D. is necessary.

4. In the circumstances reported by the Collector, it is for orders whether his views may be agreed to and the papers recorded.

p.t.o.

No. 12066 R/52

The personal file of the Tahsildar is put up at (K).

The file may be circulated to M.(Agri.) through M.(L.R.) with reference to his minutes at 'A' on p.1 c.f.

24.1.53

27/1/53

'M'

The Tahsildar should have given his work to the lowest tenderer enforcing at the same time proper construction. There was no need for the Tahsildar to go all the way to the village of the petitioner to give the contract and finding that he was not there to give it to another contractor. This may be pointed out to the collector

2.2.53

3/4/53

M.K. Mamillapalle

M (L.R.)

7/2

M. (Agri)

M (Agri)

9/2

X 0.2 p 10 CF

pl. circulate

12.02.

5/2

To R.S.:

Please see the orders in circulation above. I.r.c. 'M' above, a draft D.O. is submitted for approval.

12-2-53.

13/2/53

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11 FEB 1953
REVENUE DEPARTMENT